INERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

THE PALACE,

Mysore., January 9, 1932

FROM

RAJASABHABHUSHANA
T. THUMBOO CHETTY, Esq., B.A.,
Huzur Secretary to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith the petition No. 520 dated 2-1-1932 received from Vyasarayaswamy Mutt Savenur requesting for restoration of certain Inam villages said to have been ~~recently~~ taken to the Government management for favour of disposal

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Huzur Secretary.

M/DSH.



Muz 1-31-27

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

for ſ.

Order

193 2

No. 1040.

Name of petitioner Srimallaradhya

Mauroona Thirtha Sreeramigalavaru

Village Sri Vyasaraja Mutt of Kundapur (Kundapura)

Taluk Chennarayapatna

District Bangalore.

Date of petition —

Date of receipt 7.1.31

No. of enclosures nil

ABSTRACT.

Request that a balance of Rs 5280/- is still due to the Mutt in connection with the re. transfer of all the Mutt properties at Jirihalli by Government about twenty five years ago, may be ordered to be paid early so that it may go to add to the endowment of Rs 18100/- as made by the Mutt towards the Madura Gurukula,

Submitted.

12/1/31

Secretary
with compliments
B.O.

PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO THE

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mrs 3 File No. 1 of 1932.

Serial No.	
40	Letter No 178/32-33 & 8-12-32 from the Mercara Commissioner stating that under the circumstances reported therein the amount is due to Sri Kundapur Vyasanarayanaswami Mutt in respect of the period during which the inam lands endowed to the Mutt at Tirthahalli Taluk were under Government management. 5) In the circumstances reported by the M.C. it is for orders if the M.C. may be requested to issue a suitable reply to the Swamiguru. The Mutt is entitled to the amount due. The Mutt should further report about restoration of inam village in charge to the Mutt authorities to enable A
	6) A - may be done. 20/12/32.
	7) 5-1-33.
	8 copies made. 17/1/33
41	No 1066 of 19-1-1933 from the Mercara Commissioner requesting him to give a suitable reply to the Swamiguru of Sri Kundapur Vyasanarayanaswami Mutt regarding the amount claimed by the Mutt.

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Prayer of the Kundapura Vyasanaya Sivarane for restoring certain Inam Village — 1110

Mag File No. of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Letter no 973/31-32 of 6/4/34 from the Mysore Commissioner stating that it is reported by the Deputy Commissioner that no references are forthcoming to show that the village by name Brahmanimma of Channapatna Taluk was at any time an Inam, that it is treated as Sirkar for more than 60 years & that the claim of the Sri Kundapura Vyasanaya Swami Mutt needs no investigation at this distance of time -

If agreed, the M.C. will be requested to give a final decision regarding grant of the Inam.

To orders —

1/5

1) The M.C's view may be accepted & the petitioner given an Enam accordingly.

11/5

(I Secy)

(II Member)

1) 11/5

12/5

14/5

V V

14/5

Approved

16/5/34

29/5

Issue

30/5/34

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
14 MAY 1934
No. 7 S 86

TYPING SECTION
30 MAY 1934
3-30
GENL. & REV. SECTT.

5

[App. F. III—2

General Secretariat — Revenue — File No. 3 of 1931.

Serial No.	
	Vignapana patrika no 1601 dy 2.6.34 to the Swamigalu of Kundapur Sri Vyasaraja Swami Mutt in hindig that there are no references to show that Brahmanapur was ever an Inam Village and that it is found to be a Sircari Village for over 60 years —
Rs 2576	No 1602 dy 2.6.34 to the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding Copy of the above Communication with reference to his letter of 6.4.34

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

File No.	of 193	General and R Secretariat

PALACE OFFICE.
Petition Reg. No. 520
Date of Receipt 2-1-32
Date of Disposal

D. No. 740

20553

నమ్మమశక్కఱవ్వమండ్లదు కొల్లుంచనల్లు శ్రీమద్వాల్మీకరాజుశ
కాలదల్లు ఆనెగొంది మహారాజు శ్రీలశ్మప్పరాజు దిండుశా.శ. 1445.
శల్లు "ప్రవక్కేదుల్లు" యుంబ గ్రామవు శ్రీవాల్మీకరాయంచగిదానవాగి
యిడల్బడ్డట్టు అవరగురుంగళ జిసరినిండు "శ్రీబ్రుంబల్లి (విలాస్)
పుర"వెంబ నామధ్రేయంబుదిండ సంతియుల్బయ్య నమ్మమశక్తమాల్ను
నమ్మమశక్తస్వామింగళ స్వాధ్రీనం లనుంచువదల్లు ఇత్తిరి.

ఈ సంబంధ్రవాద కామ్రశాసనవు నమ్మమశక్తల్లు ఉగలు
అది. ఈశాసనవు శాసన ఇలా మిరుల్లు చెంగళురు దిగ్ 153. నెన్న
నేంబరింగి యూహానగు లగిలది. ఆదరి నక్తల్ గ్రామవన్ను సశ్వా
శక్తవరు మశక్త స్వాధ్రీన లనుంభవతప్పిగి రిపళరుంగళ గిల్
ర్చుపట్టబుల్బారు లెన్నిరి.

ఈకటగచుట్టు శ్రీమత్ నాలద్వీవాద లనాద్యాశ్రాద్ధేమా
గళన్ను సశ్వా సదిసు లెన్నిరి ఇరువ మహాధ్రేమా ప్రభుగళు
విజయాశ్రమూది సశ్వా గ్రామవన్ను మశక్తల్లనీడవు నం:
ఇనాం లగి సదిసి సశ్వాయన్ను ప్రాబ్దాన ధ్రేమా ప్రభుగళ
సేశ్రవాద ధ్రేమా కాయుగళ సదు ద్విజశగళన్ను
లక్ష్మిలమన గొళ్ళగి జీలశోర్ద్ధ్రాశ్రమూది సిసేంబల్లు
శ్రీలమద్వాల్మీ సయుంని మశక్తరుంగిల్లోర సశ్వాభూమం
సమేలశ్ర శ్రీలచ్చణాగొండహాలశ్మప్పదిక్ష వశదవ్వా
నం గ్రహిస్థి హాశ్రాంగి ఇదు చశగళిశ్రీశ్రీశ్రీంయుం మం
మాపు లాల్లి, కెలవిరి ప్రజగళన్నివరి ంయ్యోతాంగళిల్లు.
మయిన్ "సశ్వాయమేలవ్వోలనేన్బరాపున్నిరు" ఎంబు న
ర్ప్రతిజ్ఞెగళ శ్రీలశ్మప్పమూది వహాశ్రీలఆవ మహా
ప్రాబ్దెన్ను ప్రభుగళ వరిగే విశోష్య తోడయిన్నింశగళిల్ల
నేం ఇరువవరిల్లు —— —— ఇతినారాయణసమరం

श्रीमद्व्यास मुनि वरद रुक्मिणी सत्यभामा समेत

श्री वेणुगोपाल कृष्णो जयति

श्रीमत्परमहंस परिव्राजकाचार्यत्वाद्यनेकगुण सम्पन्नराद
पदवाक्य प्रमाण पारावार पारंगत सर्वतंत्र स्वतंत्र
श्रीमद्वैष्णव सिद्धान्त प्रतिष्ठापनाचार्य राद
श्रीमद्विद्यानगर कर्णाटक सिंहासनाधीश्वर राद
श्रीमद्व्यास मुनि वरद
श्री रुक्मिणी सत्यभामा समेत वेणु गोपाल कृष्ण
दिव्य श्रीपाद पद्मा राधक राद
श्री चन्नपट्टण-कुन्दापुर-श्रीमद्व्यासराजसंस्थानाधिपतिगळाद
श्रीमल्लक्ष्मीप्रियतीर्थ श्रीपादंगळवर करकमल सञ्जातराद
श्रीमल्लक्ष्मीप्रसन्नतीर्थ श्रीपादंगळवर वर कुमारकराद
श्रीमल्लक्ष्मी मनोज्ञ तीर्थ श्रीपादंगळवरु
संस्थानक्के मुख्याभिमानिगळाद

[illegible] नारायणस्मरणपर्वक अतिप्रीतियिंद बरसिकळुहिसिद निरूप रायस [illegible] पर्यन्त [illegible] लि गुरुदेवतानुग्रहदिंद

नावु स्नान जप देवतार्चन प्रवचनादिगळन्न माडिकोंडु क्षेमेण इधेवे.
निम्मगळ क्षेम समाचारगळन्न पत्रमुखेन तिळिसुवदु.

॥ संप्रति ॥

161/Inam 1-31-28/Encls 2 bdls
& 17.2.32

App. F G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.....

The accompanying Petition/Registered
Srimukha No 4210 & 25/12/31
No. 740 dated ~~.....~~
& 741 (Srimukha No 42229 2/1/32)
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from The Swamis of the Sri Vyasaraja Matha Mulbagal
on the subject noted in the margin

are
~~is~~ forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~
to the M. C.
.....
.....for.....
of orders.

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,
8/2/1932

[P. T. O.

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8 8-28

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ್.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ .....

ಮುಕ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು..... .....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

M. C. 13]

No. 178/32-33
Encl 27 files

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
663
10 DEC. 1932
MUZRAI.

Dated, Bangalore, 7th December ... 193

Muz 1-31-40.

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

No

To

...S. Srinivasa, Esq., I.A.S., ...
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Finance ... *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your official memorandum No.11[illegible] dated 16/17th February 1933 re: the balance of Rs. 300/- stated to be due to the Srirangapura Municipal Fund, I am directed to state that the encroachments referred to in the said memorandum which were attached by Government on the bullock tank place have been removed and that place is now in possession of the Swamigalu under the authority of G.O. No.3638-39/ No.183-00-7 dated 14-12-1936. The payment of a sum of Rs.1003-0-3 made as Rs.145-15-1 in the name of Srirangapura Municipality to Government and its further remittance G.O. No.446-4/ No.3-00-10 dated 23-9-1934. In the Municipal [illegible] the Swamigalu stated that a sum of Rs.300/- is outstanding against the lands and requests that the same may be recovered and paid to him being a fee on the occasion of the annual [illegible]. The Deputy Commissioner of the Mandya District [illegible] was called for stating [illegible] No. [illegible] dated 5-10-33 the [illegible] Rs.450-13-10 [illegible] buildings etc., [illegible] the year 1923-24 of [illegible] Rs.300-0-0 [illegible] the sale of novelties [illegible]

the balance could not be recovered under revenue process as it was more than six years old.

2. In the circumstances, no amount is due to the Mutt in respect of the period during which the lands were under Government management.

3. The information called for from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, re: the restoration of certain inam village in the Chennapatna Taluk has not been received and he has been urgently reminded in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Shivaramayya

Kuzrai Commissioner.

ms

1066 Excise files.
Mag 1-31-41

18th January 3

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.173/32-33 dated 8th December 1932 in the matter of Sri Kundapur Vyasarayaswami mutt claiming Rs.5280/- as due to it in respect of the Mutt lands managed by Government about 25 years back, I am directed to request that a suitable reply explaining the circumstances and pointing out that no amount is due to the mutt for the period in question may be issued to the Swamigalu and to add that the receipt of the report about the restoration of certain Inam villages in the Chennapatna taluk is awaited.

The file of records received with your letter under advertence is herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Financial Secretary to Government.

mk.2

ppendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Revenue

File No. I — 31

Serial No. 41

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1066 Encl-2 file

To

The H. C.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 18/1/33

Signed by Mr B. Muddukrishnappa

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no 178/32-33 of 8/12/32 in the matter of Sri Kunchum Vyasarajaswami Inam claim Rs 5280/- is due to it in respect of the Inam lands managed by same about 25 years back, I am directed to request you to kindly a suitable reply explaining the circumstances of pointing out whether no amount is due to the Inam for the period in question may be asked for in Swamigalu. & to add that the receipt of the report about the restoration of Inam

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Thanks Vidya for the Chemmeen fabric
This is awaited ——

The file of records received
herein from Calcutta under advertised
is herewith returned —

Thanks Esq

17/1/33

For Secretary
ITDepn

98 —
17/1.

14

GENL & REV 7 1110

No. 973 Rev 9 file 31-32 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore dated 4th April 1934.
6.

From

N. Madhava Rau, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your Official Memorandum No.1161/Muz.1-31-28 dated 17-2-32 calling for a report on petition (Srimukha No.4210 dated 25th December 1931) from the Swamigalu of the Sri Mundapur Vyasarayaswami Mutt re: the restoration of the Inam village Prahmanipura of Channapatna Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No. C3-3334/31-32 dated 27-2-34 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, stating that no references are forthcoming to show that the village was at any time an inam, that it is treated as Sircar for more than sixty years and that the claim of the Mutt needs no investigation at this distance of time. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner

The connected records are herewith forwarded.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Asst. Comr
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from Station)

Letter No.C3-3334/31-32 dated 27-2-34 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your Memo No.C.973/31-32 dated 23-2-32 calling for a report on the petition of the Swamigalu of Sri Kundapur Vyasarayaswami Mutt re: the restoration of the inam village, I have the honor to state that it is reported that the village Prahmanipura of Channapatna Taluk has been a Government village for over 60 yeas and that no references are forthcoming to show that this was an inam village at any time and if so when and in what circumstances it was taken over to Government.

It is further reported that the four S.Nos. referred to in the copy of the Inam register produced by the Agent which are granted to the Mutt still continue in their possession.

In these circumstances I do not think that the claim of the Mutt needs any investigation at this distance of time.

The connected records accompany.

"COPY"

Ramaiah

For Muzrai Commissioner.

1601

May 1-31

₹ 2-6-34

17 1602 Sept

Copy to M.C. returning records

received with his letter No

473 A. 1.4.34.

1-32

B.O

Yor Pol Sec

G.S

2265